

APPENDIX G: BIBLIOGRAPHY

ONTARIO

Articles, Papers and Texts

- G.D. Cudmore, *Choate on Discovery*, 2d ed., loose leaf (Toronto: Carswell, 1993).
- T. Denison, "Discovery can co-exist with mandatory mediation" (29 January 1999) 18:35 *Lawyers Wkly.* 11.
- R. Dinovitzer, *Attitudes Towards Long Civil Trials: A Survey of the Members of the Advocates' Society* (Toronto: The Advocates' Society, July 1998).
- The Hon. Mr. Justice J.W. Morden, "An Overview of the Rules of Civil Procedure of Ontario" (November 1984) 5:3 *Advocates' Q.* 257.
- P.M. Perell, "The Essentials of Pleading" (1995) 17 *Advocates' Q.* 205.
- K. Roach, "Fundamental Reforms to Civil Litigation" in Ontario Law Reform Commission, *Rethinking Civil Justice: Research Studies for Civil Justice Review*, vol. 2 (Toronto: Ontario Law Reform Commission, 1996) 381.
- A.I. Schein, "Rule 31.06 of the Ontario Rules of Civil Procedure: Should Parties be Obligated to Provide Summaries of Witnesses' Evidence at Trial?" (May 1989) 10 *Advocates' Q.* 364.
- P. Schindler, "The Advantages and Disadvantages of Written Examinations for Discovery" (August 1989) 10 *Advocates' Q.* 404.
- P.S. Selvin, "Foreign parties may find U.S. discovery rules to their liking" (5 June 1998) 18:5 *Lawyers Wkly.* 10.
- R.G. Slaght, Q.C., "Why Examinations Go On (And On, And...)" (October 1997) 9:3 *Advocates' Brief* 1.

Reports

- Law Society of Upper Canada, Policy Secretariat, *Competence Task Force - Final Report* (28 November 1997). http://www.lsuc.on.ca/services/comp_final_en.jsp
- Law Society of Upper Canada, Policy Secretariat, *Competence Task Force - Interim Report* (27 June 1997). http://www.lsuc.on.ca/services/comp_inter_en.jsp
- Report Regarding Proposed Change to the Rules Governing the Conduct of Examinations for Discovery and Other Out-of-court Examinations* (The Advocates' Society: 12 February 1999)
- Ontario Civil Justice Review, *Civil Justice Review: First Report* (Toronto: Ontario Civil Justice Review, March 1995).
- Ontario Civil Justice Review, *Civil Justice Review: Supplemental and Final Report* (Toronto: Ontario Civil Justice Review, November 1996).
- Ontario, Ministry of the Attorney General, *Civil Procedure Revision Committee* (June 1980) (Chair: W.B. Williston Q.C.).
- Report of the Long Civil Trials Task Force of the Advocates' Society* (Toronto: The Advocates' Society, August, 1998).

Rules

- Ontario, Family Law Rules. http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/DBLaws/Regs/English/990114a_e.htm
- Ontario, Rules of Civil Procedure.
http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/DBLaws/Regs/English/900194a_e.htm
- Ontario, Rules of Practice, R.R.O. 1980, Reg 540.

Legislation

- Evidence Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. E.23.

Rules of Professional Conduct

- Law Society of Upper Canada, *Rules of Professional Conduct* (November 2000).
http://www.lsuc.on.ca/services/RulesProfCondpage_en.jsp

Cases

Toronto Board of Education Staff Credit Union Ltd. v. Skinner (1984), 46 C.P.C. 292 (Ont. H.C.).
Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. of New York v. Outerbridge (1987), 23 C.P.C. (2d) 127 (Ont. Master).
Kay v. Posluns (1989), 71 O.R. (2d) 238 (H.C.).
Waxman v. Waxman (1990), 42 C.P.C. (2d) 296 (Master).
Solid Waste Reclamation Inc., et al. v. Philip Enterprises Inc., et al. (1991), 2 O.R. (3d) 481, [1991] O.J. No. 213 (Gen. Div.) (QL).
Solid Waste Reclamation Inc. v. Philip Enterprises Inc. (1992), 2 O.R. (3d) 481 (Gen. Div.).
Air Canada v. McDonnell Douglas Corp. (1995), 22 O.R. (3d) 140 (Master), affd 22 O.R. (3d) 382 (Gen. Div.).
Brandolino v. Canhas (1995), 82 O.A.C. 123 (Div. Ct.).
Bank of America Canada v. Mutual Trust Co. (1998), 39 O.R. (3d) 134 (Gen. Div. [Commercial List]).
Hopps-King Estate v. Miller (1998), 29 C.P.C. (4th) 23 (Gen. Div.).
Toronto-Dominion Bank v. E. Goldberger Holdings (1999), 43 C.P.C. (4th) 275 (S.C.J. [Commercial List]).
922230 Ontario Ltd. v. Alarmforce Industries Inc. (1999), 40 C.P.C. (4th) 373 (Case Mgt. Master).
Marchand (Litigation Guardian of) v. Public General Hospital of Chatham (2000), 51 O.R. (3d) 97 (C.A.).
Spasic Estate v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd. (2000), 49 O.R. (3d) 699 (C.A.).
Bensuro Holdings Inc. v. Avenor Inc. (2000), 186 D.L.R. (4th) 182 (S.C.J.).
Business Depot Ltd. v. Genesis Media Inc. (2000) 48 O.R. (3d) 402 (S.C.J.).
Wilson v. Servier, [2002] O.J. No. 3723 (S.C.J.).
Mirra v. Toronto Dominion Bank, [2002] O.J. No. 1483 (Master) (QL).

Other

Chief Justice of Ontario Advisory Committee on Professionalism, "Defining Professionalism" (Draft, December 2001) [unpublished] <http://www.lsuc.on.ca/news/pdf/definingprofsoct2001revdec.pdf>
Regional Senior Justice R.A. Blair, Superior Court of Justice, Advisory Notice, *Motions and Applications Procedure - Toronto Region* (Superior Court of Justice, 29 August 2002).
Master J.M. Haberman, Case Management Master, Direction Regarding Refusals Motions.
Law Society of Upper Canada, 41st Bar Admission Course Materials: Civil Litigation Reference Materials (Toronto: June 1999) (Section Head: M.S.F. Watson).
Proposal by the Advocates' Society of Ontario for Discovery Reform (12 February 1999), and the Civil Rules Secretariat's Objections to the Proposal (4 July 1999).
The Advocates' Society, Principles of Civility for Advocates.
http://www.advsoc.on.ca/civility/principles_tex.htm

OTHER CANADIAN JURISDICTIONS

Articles, Papers and Texts

E. Macklin, Q.C. & A. Macleod, Q.C., *New Discovery Rules* (Law Society of Alberta, December 14, 1999). http://www.lawsocietyalberta.com/whats_new/new_dec14_discovery.asp
Civil Justice Reform Evaluation, Emerging Findings, March 2001, cited in B.C. Justice Review Task Force, *Exploring Fundamental Change: A Compendium of Potential Justice System Reforms* (July 2002).

Reports

Alberta Rules of Court Project, Consultation Memorandum No. 12.7, "Discovery and Evidence Issues: Commission Evidence, Admissions, Pierringer Agreements and Innovative Procedures" (Edmonton: Alberta Law Reform Institute, July 2003).
http://www.law.ualberta.ca/alri/pdfs/cnslt_memo/cm12-7.pdf
Alberta Rules of Court Project, Consultation Memorandum No. 12.2, "Document Discovery and Examination for Discovery" (Edmonton: Alberta Law Reform Institute, October 2002).
http://www.law.ualberta.ca/alri/pdfs/cnslt_memo/cm12-2.pdf

BC Justice Review Task Force, *Exploring Fundamental Change: A Compendium of Potential Justice System Reforms* (July 2002).

http://www.bcjusticereview.org/recent_announcements/2002/potential_reforms_07_02.pdf

Rules of Court

Alberta, Alberta Rules of Court. <http://www.qp.gov.ab.ca/documents/rules/02.CFM>

British Columbia, Rules of Court. http://www.ag.gov.bc.ca/courts/civil/sup_crt_rules/index.htm

Canada, Federal Court Rules. http://www.fja.gc.ca/fed_rules/index_e.html

Manitoba, Court of Queen's Bench Rules. <http://web2.gov.mb.ca/laws/rules/qbr1e.php>

New Brunswick, Rules of Court. http://www.gnb.ca/0062//regs/rules_court.htm

Newfoundland, Rules of the Supreme Court, 1986. <http://www.gov.nf.ca/HOA/regulations/Rc86rules.htm>

Northwest Territories, The Supreme Court Rules. <http://www.canlii.org/nt/sta/statutes.html>

Nova Scotia, Civil Procedure Rules. <http://www.courts.ns.ca/Rules/toc.htm>

Prince Edward Island, Civil Procedure Rules. <http://www.gov.pe.ca/courts/supreme/rules/index.php3>

Rules of Practice of the Superior Court of the District of Montreal in Civil Matters and in Family Matters.

http://www.tribunaux.qc.ca/mjq_en/c-superieure/regle-pratique/montreal/index_regles_mtl.html

Rules of Practice of the Superior Court for the District of Quebec in Civil Matters.

http://www.tribunaux.qc.ca/mjq_en/c-superieure/regle-pratique/quebec/index_regles_qc.html

Rules of Practice of the Superior Court of Quebec in Civil Matters.

http://www.tribunaux.qc.ca/mjq_en/c-superieure/regle-pratique/index_regles.html

Saskatchewan, Rules of Court.

<http://www.qp.gov.sk.ca/documents/English/Rules/qbrules.pdf>

Yukon, Rules of Court

Legislation

Canada Evidence Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-5.

Criminal Code R.S.C. 1985, c. C-46)

Manitoba Evidence Act, C.C.S.M. c. E150.

New Brunswick, *Evidence Act*, S.N.B. c. E-11.

Northwest Territories, *Evidence Act*, R.S.N.W.T. 1988, c. E-8.

Nunavut, *Evidence Act*, R.S.N.W.T. 1988, c. E-8.

Nunavut Judicial System Implementation Act, S.N.W.T. 1988, c.34.

Quebec, *Code of Civil Procedure*, R.S.Q. c. C-25

The Saskatchewan Evidence Act, R.S.S. 1978, c. s-18.

Yukon, *Evidence Act*, R.S.Y. 2002, c. 57.

Yukon, *Judicature Act*, R.S.Y. 2002, c. 128.

Rules of Professional Conduct

Canadian Bar Association, *Code of Professional Conduct* (Ottawa: The Canadian Bar Association, 1988).

<http://www.cba.org/CBA/Epiigram/february2002/codeeng.pdf>

Code of ethics of advocates, R.Q. c. B-1, r. 1.

<http://www.canlii.org/qc/regu/crqc/20030530/r.q.b-1r.1/whole.html>

Law Society of Alberta, *Code of Professional Conduct*.

http://www.lawsocietyalberta.com/Info_lawyers/code/conduct.asp

Law Society of British Columbia, *Professional Conduct Handbook* (The Law Society of British Columbia, 1998-2003). http://www.lawsociety.bc.ca/library/frame_resource_handbook.html

Law Society of Manitoba, *Code of Professional Conduct*.

http://www.lawsociety.mb.ca/code_and_rules/code_of_conduct/table_of_contents_eng.htm

Law Society of New Brunswick, *Code of Conduct*.

<http://www.lawsociety-barreau.nb.ca/code-of-conduct.asp>

Law Society of Newfoundland, *Code of Professional Conduct* (The Law Society of Newfoundland, 1999).

<http://www.lawsociety.nf.ca/complaints/code.htm>

Law Society of Saskatchewan, *Code of Professional Conduct*.

<http://www.lawsociety.sk.ca/NewLook/Publications/Code2001/Code2002Jul.PDF>

Law Society of Yukon, *Code of Professional Conduct*. <http://www.lawsocietyyukon.com/code.asp>

Nova Scotia Barristers' Society, *Legal Ethics & Professional Conduct Handbook*.

http://www.nsbs.ns.ca/handbook/handbk_jan17_01.pdf

Other

Law Society of British Columbia, "Practice Checklists Manual: General Checklists" and "Personal Injury Plaintiff's Interview or Examination for Discovery".

http://www.lawsociety.bc.ca/library/checklist/body_checklist_table.html#Litigation

Legal Education Society of Alberta, Seminar Materials, "New Discovery Rules" (27-28 October 1999) (Chair: Hon. R. Paul Belzil).

Supreme Court of Prince Edward Island, Practice Note 39, "Rules 30.03(4) and 30.04" (Supreme Court of Prince Edward Island, October 2002).

<http://www.gov.pe.ca/courts/supreme/notes/note39.pdf>

CANADA - GENERAL

Articles, Papers and Texts

E.A. Cronk, "Canadian Bar Association Task Force on 'Systems of Civil Justice in Canada'" (Presentation to the Canadian Medical Protective Association Tort Reform Conference, 5 November 1998).

E. Mazey, "Why Put Off Today What Is Required Doing Tomorrow – Disclosure of Report Drafts and Communications Between Counsel and Expert" [unpublished].

M.A. Ross, "Tort Reform" (Paper presented to the Canadian Medical Protective Association Tort Reform Conference, 5 November 1998) (22 October 1997).

M. Ross, "Scope of Tort Reform" (Presentation to the Canadian Medical Protective Association Tort Reform Conference, 5 November 1998).

M. Ross & C.S. Ritchie, "Heeding the Call of the Prichard Report: Imperatives for Change in Medical Malpractice" (November 2001) The Holland Group Spring/Summer Newsletter 2001.

R. Stradiotto, Q.C., "Hospital Viewpoint" (Presentation to the Canadian Medical Protective Association Tort Reform Conference, 5 November 1998).

E.W. Wright, "Controlling Discovery Abuse: A Microcosm of Procedural Reform" (1987) 66 Can. Bar Rev. 551.

Reports

Canadian Bar Association, *Report of the Task Force on Systems of Civil Justice* (Ottawa: Canadian Bar Association, August 1996).

Will-Say Statements Working Group, Report, *Recommendation No. 15* (22 September 1998) (Chair: E.D.D. Tavender, Q.C.).

Other

Canadian Bar Association, *Resolution 97-15-M: Systems of Civil Justice* (February 1997) (Executive Director: J.D.V. Hoyles).

<http://www.cfcj-fcjc.org/res97-15.html>

E.D.D. Tavender, Q.C. & G.L. Tarnowsky, "Reform of the Discovery Process" in Canadian Bar Association, *Issues Papers: Background Study to the Systems of Civil Justice Task Force Interim Report* (Ottawa: Canadian Bar Association, 1996).

UNITED KINGDOM

Articles, Papers and Texts

A. Ogus, "Some Reflections on the Woolf Interim Report" (1996) 1 Web JCLI.

<http://webjcli.ncl.ac.uk/1996/issue1/ogus.1.html>

W.M. Rees & P. Howell-Richardson, eds., "Topic One - Update on the Impact of the Civil Procedure Rules on ADR in Practice" 1:1 *Journal of ADR, Mediation & Negotiation*, Current Developments in Practice (August 2000).

District Judge P. Sanghera, "Benchmarks -- an exploration of discovery -- advocating some pauses for reflection in the complicated world of discovery" (30 May 2002) 99:22 *Law Society Gazette* 38.

Reports

Lord Woolf, *Access to Justice Interim Report to the Lord Chancellor on the Civil Justice System in England Wales 1995*, Chapters 19 to 22.

Media Guide, *Lord Woolf's Final Report on Access to Justice* (26 July, 1996).

<http://www.lcd.gov.uk/civil/rpt-bfg3.htm#top>

J. Shapland, A. Sorsby & J. Hibbert, *A Civil Justice Audit* (Lord Chancellor's Department, Research Programme, Research Series No. 2/02, March, 2002).

The Lord Chancellor's Department, Civil Justice Reform Evaluation, *Further Findings: A continuing evaluation of the Civil Justice Reforms* (August 2002).

<http://www.lcd.gov.uk/civil/reform/ffreform.htm>.

Rules

U.K. Civil Procedure Rules. http://www.lcd.gov.uk/civil/procrules_fin/index.htm

U.K. Civil Procedure Rules, Pre-Action Protocols.

http://www.lcd.gov.uk/civil/procrules_fin/menus/protocol.htm

Other

M. Bramley & A. Gouge, *The Civil Justice Reforms One Year On: Freshfields assess their progress* (London: Butterworths, 2000).

Chancery Guide (incorporating the Chancery Division Practice Directions) (2000).

http://www.courtservice.gov.uk/notices/chanc/chan_guide/preface.htm

"Factsheet 1: Civil Litigation and the Expert Witness" *J.S. Publications* (2 February 2000).

<http://www.jspubs.com/Experts/fs/01.pdf>

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, *The civil justice reforms: an update* (Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, April 2001).

AUSTRALIA

Articles, Papers and Texts

B.C. Cairns, "An Evaluation of the Function and Practice of Discovery" 61 *A.L.J.* (1987) 79.

S.D. Simpson, D.L. Bailey & E.K. Evans, *Discoveries and Interrogatories*, 2d ed. (Butterworths, 1990).

Reports

Australian Law Reform Commission, *Civil Litigation Practice and Procedure*, Adversarial Background Paper 5 (December 1996).

<http://bar.austlii.edu.au/au/other/alrc/publications/bp/5/civilLitigation.html>

Australian Law Reform Commission, *Managing Justice: A review of the federal civil justice system*, Report 89 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2000).

<http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/alrc/publications/reports/89>

Australian Law Reform Commission, *Review of the adversarial system of litigation*, Introductory Pamphlet 1 (July 1997).

<http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/alrc/publications/intro/inquiry.html>

Australian Law Reform Commission, *Review of the adversarial system of litigation: Rethinking the federal civil litigation system*, Issues Paper 20 (Commonwealth of Australia, 1997).

<http://bar.austlii.edu.au/au/other/alrc/publications/issues/20/ALRCIP20.html>

Rules

Australia Federal Court Rules.
Australia, NSW Consolidated Regulations.
http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/nsw/consol_reg/

IRELAND

Reports

The Civil Justice Reform Group, *Review of the Civil Justice System in Northern Ireland: Interim Report* (Belfast: Civil Justice Reform Group, April 1999).

Other

Mr. Justice P. Kelly, "The New Discovery Rules of the Superior Courts" (Talk delivered to the Dublin Solicitors Bar Association, 22 March 2000).

NEW ZEALAND

Reports

New Zealand Law Commission, Preliminary Paper 45, *Reforming the Rules of General Discovery – A discussion paper* (Wellington, September, 2001).

Rules

New Zealand High Court Rules.

UNITED STATES - FEDERAL

Articles, Papers and Texts

J.S. Beckerman, "Controlling Civil Discovery's Fatal Flaws" (2000) 84 Minn. Law Rev. 505.
W.D. Brazil, "Ethical Perspectives on Discovery Reform" (1982) 3 The Review of Litigation 51.
J.M. Cary, "Rambo Depositions: Controlling an Ethical Cancer in Civil Litigation" (Winter 1996) 25 Hofstra L. Rev. 561.
M. Cloud, "The 2000 Amendments to the Federal Discovery Rules and the Future of Adversarial Pretrial Litigation" (Spring 2001) 74 Temple L. Rev. 27.
R. Coleman, "Civil Disclosures: Skepticism runs rampant as the federal courts' experiment with discovery reform hits the two-year mark" (October 1995) 81 A.B.A.J. 76.
A.D. Dickerson, "The Law and Ethics of Civil Depositions" (1998) 57 Md. L. Rev. 273.
Justice W.H. Erickson, "Limited Discovery and the Use of Alternative Procedures for Dispute Resolution" (1994) 71 Denv. U.L. Rev. 303.
F.F. Flegal, "Discovery Abuse: Causes, Effects, and Reform" (Winter 1982) 3:1 The Review of Litigation 1.
B. Hay, "Effort, Information, Settlement, Trial" (January 1995) 24 J. Legal Stud. 29.
S.I. Idelstein, "Have new rules changed the boundaries of discovery?" (August 2002) Trial 50.
Judge E. A. Jenkins, "Amendments to the Federal Discovery and Evidence Rules: A Primer", (December 2000) 74 Fla. Bar J. 22.
L. Kieve, "Discovery Reform: Maybe the Best Solution Is No Discovery at All" (December 1991) 77 A.B.A.J. 79.
J.H. Langbein, "The German Advantage in Civil Procedure" (Fall 1985) 52 U. Chi. L. Rev. 823.
A.M. Loeb, "The Civil Litigator: Discovery Abuse – The Problems and Some Proposals for Reform", originally published in *The Colorado Lawyer*, Vol. 9, No. 2, February 1980.
<http://www.dgslaw.com/articles/274793.html>
R.M. Lovein, "A Practitioner's Guide: Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 (a) – Automatic Disclosure" (1996) 47 Syracuse L. Rev. 225.
W.I. Lundquist & J.A. Ball, "Conclusions and Recommendations" (1983) 3 The Review of Litigation 209.

J. McArthur, "The Need for Uniform Discovery Time Limits" (June 1997) 80 *Judicature* 251.

J.B. McArthur, "The Strange Case of American Civil Procedure and the Missing Uniform Discovery Time Limits" (Summer 1996) 24 *Hofstra L. Rev.* 865.

F.G. Melcher, "The Positive Effect of Early Discovery Management: A Summary of the Discovery Recommendations in the RAND Report on the *Civil Justice Reform Act*" (Spring 1997) *The Judges' Journal* 14.

T.D. Rowe, Jr., "A Square Peg in a Round Hole? The 2000 Limitation on the Scope of Federal Civil Discovery" (Fall 2001) 69 *Tenn. L. Rev.* 13.

R. Samborn, "Derailing the Rules" (May 24, 1993) *The National Law Journal*.

M.M. Schroeder & J.P. Frank, "Discovery Reform: Long Road to Nowheresville" (May 1982) 68 *A.B.A.J.* 572.

United States District Judge W.W. Schwarzer, "The Federal Rules, The Adversary Process, and Discovery Reform" (Spring 1989) 50 *U. Pitt L. Rev.* 703.

E.F. Sherman, "The Judges' Role in Discovery" (1982) 3 *The Review of Litigation* 89.

A.Y. Shields, "The Civil Justice Reform Act: The Utility of Disclosure as a Reform to the Pretrial Discovery Process" (Fall 1993) 67 *St. John's L. Rev.* 907.

C.W. Sorenson Jr., "Disclosure Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 (a) – "Much Ado About Nothing?"" (March 1995) 46 *Hastings L.J.* 679.

E.F. Spade, "A Mandatory Disclosure and Civil Justice Reform Proposal Based on the Civil Justice Reform Act Experiments" (1995) 43 *Clev. St. L. Rev.* 147.

D. Stienstra, "Implementation of Disclosure in United States District Courts, With Specific Attention to Courts' Responses to Selected Amendments to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26" (Federal Judicial Center, 30 March 1998).

S.N. Subrin, "Fudge Points and Thin Ice in Discovery Reform and the Case for Selective Substance-Specific Procedure" (January 1994) 46 *Fla. L. Rev.* 27.

C. Tobias, "Congress and the 2000 Federal Civil Rules Amendments" (2000) 22 *Cardozo Law Review* 75.

C. Tobias, "Discovery Reform Redux" (1999) 31 *Conn. Law Rev.* 1433.

L.J. Trembly, "Mandatory Disclosure: A Historical Review of the Adoption of Rule 26 and an Examination of the Events That Have Transpired Since its Adoption" (1997) 21 *Seton Hall Legis. J.* 425.

Judge R.K. Winter, "In Defense of Discovery Reform" (Spring 1992) 58 *Brooklyn L. Rev.* 263.

G.M. Zipes, "Discovery Abuse in the Civil Adversary System: Looking to Bankruptcy's Regime of Mandatory Disclosure and Third Party Control Over The Discovery Process for Solutions" (1996/97) 27 *Cumb. L. Rev.* 1107.

G.A. Zweifach, "Depositions under the New Federal Rules" (Winter 1997) 23:2 *Litigation* 6.

Reports

J. Kakalik et al., *Discovery Management: Further Analysis of the Civil Justice Reform Act Evaluation Data* (Washington D.C.: RAND, 1998).

P.E. Longan, E.J. Getto & W.T. Hangley, *Report of the Federal Procedure Committee of the Section of Litigation of the American Bar Association of the Civil Justice Reform Act and the 1993 Discovery Amendments*.

www.abanet.org/litigation/committee/pretrial/longan2.html.

T.E. Willging et al., *Discovery and Disclosure Practice, Problems, and Proposals for Change: A Case-based National Survey of Counsel in Closed Federal Civil Cases* (Federal Judicial Center, 1997).

Rules

United States, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

UNITED STATES - STATE

Articles, Papers and Texts

A.W. Albright, "New Discovery Rules: The Supreme Court Advisory Committee's Proposal" (Spring 1996) 15 *Rev. Litig.* 275.

P.E. Bruggman, "Reducing the Costs of Civil Litigation: Discovery Reform" (San Francisco: PLRI UC Hastings College of the Law, 1993-2000). <http://www.uchastings.edu/plri/fal95tex/discov.html>

D.A. Cini and R.J. Gray, "Illinois Discovery Reform: A Rationale, Rules and Result" (Spring 1997) 16 Rev. Litig. 304.

Court Delay Reduction Committee of the National Conference of State Trial Judges of the Judicial Division of the American Bar Association, "Discovery Guidelines Reducing Cost and Delay" (Spring 1997) The Judges' Journal 9.

O.C. Hamilton, Jr. and J. Shelby Sharpe, "Discovery Rule Proposals: Two Different Philosophies" (Spring 1996) 15 Rev. Litig. 341.

Justice N.L. Hecht, Memorandum, "Revisions to the Rules of Civil Procedure Regarding Discovery" (Supreme Court of Texas: 19 January 1998). <http://www.tla.com/discovery.htm>

Hon. N.L. Hecht & R.H. Pemberton, "A Guide to the 1999 Texas Discovery Rules Revisions" (Texas Supreme Court: November, 1998).

Justice N.L. Hecht, "Discovery Lite! -- The Consensus for Reform" (1996) 15 Rev. Litig. 267.

J. Latting, "Don't Do It" (Spring 1996) 15 Rev. Litig. 387.

G.G. MacDonald, "Investigating Alternative Approaches to the Federal Discovery Reform Initiatives under Rule 26(a)(1)" (Spring 1997) The Judges' Journal 4.

R.H. Pemberton, "The First Year Under the New Discovery Rules: The Big Issues Thus Far" (Texas Supreme Court: January 2000).

J.S. Shartel, "After One Year, Arizona Bar Cautiously Supports State's Discovery Reforms" (September 1993) Inside Litigation.

Rules

Arizona, Rules of Civil Procedure for the Superior Courts of Arizona.

Arkansas, Rules of Civil Procedure.

California, Code of Civil Procedure.

Illinois, Rules on Civil Proceedings in the Trial Court.

New York, Civil Practice Law and Rules.

Texas, Rules of Civil Procedure.

Other

"An Interview with Thomas Zlaket" (Fall 2000) Court Review 4.
<http://aja.ncsc.dni.us/courtrv/cr37/cr37-3/CR37-3Zlaket.pdf>

Arizona Rules of Court Annotated (Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group, 2003).

California, Form Interrogatories, Form FI-120 [Rev. July 1, 2002], Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 2030, 2033.5 (WWW.LawCA.com Law Publishers, 2002).

In re: Arkansas Rules of Civil Procedure 4, 5, 26, 33, 34, 41, 50, 52, 54, 55, 59
 (Supreme Court of Arkansas (28 January 1999).

Proposed Changes to Civil Practices Rules of Discovery, The Supreme Court of Texas, January 19, 1998.

State Bar Committee Notes to Annotated Arizona Rules of Court.

Transcript, "'Alumni" Panel on Discovery Reform" (May 1998) 39 B.C.L. Rev. 809 (Moderator: Honorable E. Becker).

U.S. - GENERAL

Articles, Papers and Texts

S. Atlas, "Where Have All the Trials Gone?" (Summer 2002) 28:4 Litigation 1.

W.D. Brazil, "Civil Discovery: Lawyers' Views of Its Effectiveness, Its Principal Problems and Abuses" (1980) 4 American Bar Foundation Research Journal 789.

J.W. Reed, "Light-Hearted Thoughts About Discovery Reform" (1983) 3 The Review of Litigation 215.

J. Kelner and R.S. Kelner, Abuse of Discovery Mechanisms, New York Law Journal, February 24, 1998.

M. Hoenig, The Other Kind of Discovery Abuse, New York Law Journal October 6, 1997.

S.R. White, *Discovery of Non-Parties' Medical Records in the Face of the Physician-Patient Privilege*, 36 Cal. W. L. Rev., Spring, 2000.

A.W. Albright, *Introduction to Symposium on Innovations in Discovery*, 16 Rev. Litig. 249, Spring, 1997.
The Honorable R. Lamberth, Moderator, The Federalist Society: Conference: Civil Justice and the Litigation Process: Do the Merits and the Search for Truth Matter Anymore?: Conference Dialogue: Day One: Panel Two: Modern Discovery Practice: Search for Truth or Means of Abuse?: Opening, 41 N.Y.L. Sch. L. Rev. 453, 1997.

Other

American Bar Association, *Civil Discovery Standards* (August 1999).

<http://www.abanet.org/litigation/taskforces/civil.pdf>.

American College of Trial Lawyers, *Code of Pretrial Conduct*.

SELECTED TOPICS

History of Discovery

Articles, Papers and Texts

L. A.J. Barnes, "Pleadings, Discoveries, etc. from the Corporate Perspective" in *An Introduction to the New Rules of Civil Procedure for Solicitors* (The Canadian Bar Association - Ontario, Continuing Legal Education, January 14, 1985).

G.D. Cudmore, *Choate on Discovery*, 2d ed. (Toronto: Carswell, 1993).

P. Fraser, *Discovery of Fact in Ontario and British Columbia* (LL.M. Thesis, University of Toronto, 1970).

The Honourable G. A. Gale & M.E. Ferguson, eds., *Holmsted and Gale on The Judicature Act of Ontario and Rules of Practice (Annotated)*, vol. 2 (Carswell, 1983).

P. Matthews and H.M. Malek, *Discovery* (London: Sweet & Maxwell, 1992).

W.A. Derry Millar, "Discovery of Documents, Examination for Discovery and Examinations" in *New Rules of Civil Procedure* (The Law Society of Upper Canada, The Canadian Bar Association-Ontario and The Advocates' Society, Continuing Legal Education Program, November 9 and 10, 1984).

The Honourable Mr. Justice J.W. Morden, The Supreme Court of Ontario, "An Overview of the Rules of Civil Procedure" in *An Introduction to the New Rules of Civil Procedure for Solicitors* (The Canadian Bar Association - Ontario, Continuing Legal Education, January 14, 1985).

A.F. Rodger, Q.C., Senior Master, S.C.O., "Foreword" in G.D. Watson and M. McGowan, *Ontario Supreme and District Court Practice 1985* (Toronto: The Carswell Company Limited, 1984).

G. D. Watson and M. McGowan, *Ontario Supreme and District Court Practice 1985* (Toronto: The Carswell Company Limited, 1984).

R.W. White, Q.C., *The Art of Discovery* (Aurora: Canada Law Book Inc., 1990).

Cases

Menzies v. McLeod (1915), 34 O.L.R. 572.

Electronic Discovery

Articles, Papers and Texts

Background Information on Electronic Data, based on research by J. Jessen of Electronic Evidence Discovery in J. Jessen, "Special Issues Involving Electronic Discovery" 9 Kan. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 425, 2000. <http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/digitaldiscovery/library/tech/>

J.R. Baron, *E-mail Metadata In A Post-Armstrong World* (IEEE, 1999).

<http://www.computer.org/proceedings/meta/1999/papers/83/jbaron.html>

R.E. Best, *California Civil Discovery: Virtual Discovery: Conducting Discovery in a Web Centric Environment* (2000-2003).

R.E. Best, *California Electronic Discovery Statutes* (2000).

http://californiadiscovery.findlaw.com/calif_el_disco_statutes.htm

R.E. Best, *Discovery Case Outline: New Developments in Discovery Law* (2000).
<http://californiadiscovery.findlaw.com/NEW%20new%20developments>

R.E. Best, *Discovery of Electronic Data & Documents* (1998, 1999).
<http://californiadiscovery.findlaw.com/E1%20Disco.htm>

R.E. Best, *Discovery of Electronic Data & Documents* (1998, 1999, 2000, 2001).
http://californiadiscovery.findlaw.com/El_Disco.htm

J.L. Carroll & K.J. Withers, *Observations on "The Sedona Principles"*.

C.V. Cotton, "Document Retention Programs for Electronic Records: Applying a Reasonableness Standard to the Electronic Era" (Winter 1999) *Journal of Corporation Law*.
<http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/digitaldiscovery/library/preservation/cotton.html>

DIBS Computer Forensics, "CD-ROM -v- Optical Disks" (August 1997) *International Journal of Forensic Computing*. www.computer-forensics.com/articles/cdvop.html

A.J. Dreyer, "When the Postman Beeps Twice: The Admissibility of Electronic Mail Under the Business Records Exception of The Federal Rules of Evidence" (April 1996) *Fordham Law Review*.

R.A. Eisenberg, "The Homesteader and the Gunslinger - Plaintiff's Counsel in Discovery" (Law Library Resource Xchange, LLC, 15 January 2003).

Federation of Law Societies of Canada, "Guidelines on Ethics and the New Technology" (November 1999). www.flsc.ca/en/pdf/EthicsGuidelines.pdf

Fios, Inc., *Case Examples, Electronic Discovery in the Courts* (Fios Inc., 2001).
http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex2.html, http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex3.html,
http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex4.html, http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex5.html,
http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex6.html, http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex7.html,
http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex8.html, http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex9.html,
http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex10.html, http://www.fiosinc.com/case_ex11.html

Fios, Inc., *Rock, Scissors, Paper...Electrons! Why You Should Insist on Electronic Document Originals Instead of Printouts*.
www.fiosinc.com/whitepaper_07.html.

L.A. Frapporti, "Effective electronic discovery is crucial for commercial litigator" (4 April 2003) *The Lawyers Weekly* 22.

P. French, "TechnoFeature: Asking the Right Questions When Seeking Electronic Evidence Through an FRCP 30(b)(6) Deposition" (PeerViews Inc. 2002), originally published as "Crafting Questions for 30(b)(b) Depositions to Obtain Electronic Discovery" (13 August 2002) *L.A. Daily Journal*.

C.L. Giacobbe, "Allocating Discovery Costs in the Computer Age: Deciding Who Should Bear the Costs of Discovery of Electronically Stored Data" (2000) 57 *Wash. & Lee L. Rev.* 257.

Justice B.T. Granger, "Information Technology and the Judicial System" (12 August 2002) 15 *CSCJA Newsletter* 8.

W.S. Gyves, "Electronic Discovery Is a Fact of Life: Coming to terms with the nuances -- and costs -- of discovery in complex litigation" *New Jersey Law Journal*.
http://www.fiosinc.com/in_2002-04-29.html

M. Hayes with P. Travis, "E-Discovery Eases The Paper Chase: Lawyers use new tools to find relevant info in E-mail and databases" (26 August 2002) *InformationWeek*.
<http://www.informationweek.com/story/IWK20020823S0032>

Justice N.L. Hecht, Memorandum, "Revisions to the Rules of Civil Procedure Regarding Discovery" (Supreme Court of Texas, 19 January 1998). <http://www.ttla.com/discovery.htm>

G.S. Johnson, Esq., "A Practitioner's Overview of Digital Discovery" (1997/98) 33:2 *Gonzaga Law Review* 347.

S. Kay, Issues Paper, "Security and Authentication Requirements in the Court Process (Part 1 and 2) (The Law Society of New South Wales).

M.C.S. Lange, K. Nimsger & R. Craig, "Beyond TIFF or PDF: Identifying the Real Issues in Electronic Document Retention Strategy" (Kroll Ontrack, reprinted with permission from *Digital Discovery and e-Evidence*, Volume 2, Number 9, pages 1-6 (Pike and Fischer, Inc. 2002).

LDM Articles, "Electronic Disclosure - The Way Ahead" (LDM Ltd, 2002).

V. Llewellyn, Esq., *Document Retention & Destruction Policies for Digital Data: What You Don't Know Can Hurt You* (Applied Discovery Inc., 2002).
http://www.applieddiscovery.com/lawlibrary/whitePapers_DocumentRetention.stm

J.M. Matthews, Esq. & S.B. Wortzman, "Winning Discovery Tactics in the Electronic World" (Paper prepared for LegalTech Toronto, 13 November 2002).

P. McLaughlin, "Flying Solo: Collaborating on the Internet" (June 2000) 65 Benchers' Advisory (The Law Society of Alberta). http://www.lawsocietyalberta.com/pubs_policies_reports/benchers/65/12.asp

P. McLaughlin, "Ethics and Technology in the 21st Century" (Remarks made to TechShow 2001, Chicago) (2001). http://www.lawsocietyalberta.com/pubs_policies_reports/ethics2001.asp

J. Melnitzer, "Is e-mail the new 'smoking gun' of litigation?" (December 1998) 9:42 Law Times 15.

C.S. Meyer & K.L. Wraspir, "E-Discovery: Preparing Clients for (and Protecting Them Against) Discovery in the Electronic Information Age" (William Mitchell College of Law, 2000).

Minnesota Historical Society, Minnesota State Archives, "Electronic Records Management Guidelines, E-mail Management" (St. Paul: Minnesota Historical Society, 2001).
<http://www.mnhs.org/preserve/records/electronicrecords/eremail.html>.

C. Nesson, *Introduction to Digital Discovery*. <http://cyber.law.harvard.edu/digitaldiscovery/library/nesson.html>

ONTRACK Data International Inc., *Electronic Discovery and Computer Forensics Case List*.

C. O'Reilly & J. Derting, "TechnoFeature: True Electronic Discovery Has Come of Age" (PeerViews Inc., 2002), originally published as "Electronic Disclosure: The Way Ahead" (6 November 2001) The Barrister.

J.M. Redgrave, "Electronic discovery becoming vitally important" (1 February 2002) The Lawyers Weekly 17.

J.M. Redgrave & E.J. Bachmann, "Electronic Discovery: Recent Views on Cost Shifting" (August 2002) The Federal Lawyer 36.

C. Santella, "TechnoFeature: The Truth is Out There: The Growing Importance of Computer Forensics" (2002), originally published as C. Santella, "Electronic Evidence Discovery: Understanding an Emerging Ingredient in the Litigation Mix" (Nov.-Dec. 2001) Legal Management.

D.S. Skupsky, "Discovery and Destruction of E-mail" in *The Internet and Business: A Lawyer's Guide to the Emerging Legal Issues* (The Computer Law Association, Inc., 1996).
<http://www.cla.org/RuhBook/chp5.htm>

L. Thomas, Jr., "Email Evidence Grows More Accessible" *The New York Times* (The New York Times Company, 2003).

M.P. Tunley & G. Slaughter, "Discovery of Documents: Seeking and Resisting Disclosure in the Information Age" (Paper prepared for the Canadian Institute's Advanced Civil Procedure Forum, 8-9 April 2002).

K.J. Withers, "Computer-Based Discovery in Federal Civil Litigation" (2000) Fed. Cts. L. Rev. 2.

K.J. Withers, *Is Digital Different? Electronic Disclosure and Discovery in Civil Litigation*, (30 December 1999). <http://www.kenwithers.com/articles/bileta/>

S. Wortzman, *Electronic Discovery: A Silent Case Killer* (Paper prepared for Legal Tech Toronto 2002 Conference) (14 November 2002).

S.B. Wortzman & J. T. Park, "The Smoking Gun to the Smouldering E-Mail: Discovery in the Electronic Age" (Paper presented at the LegalTech Conference 2001: 13 November 2001, Toronto, Ontario; revised February 2002).

Law Society of Upper Canada, *Practice Management Guidelines, Technology*.
www.lsuc.on.ca/services/pmg_tech.jsp.

M.E.J. Black, Chief Justice, Federal Court of Australia, *Guidelines for the use of information technology in litigation in any civil matter* (20 April 2000) (Commonwealth of Australia, 2000).
http://www.fedcourt.gov.au/pracproc/practice_notes_cj17.htm

The Sedona Conference, Press Release, "The Sedona Conference Working Group on Electronic Documents Rolls Out its *Best Practices Recommendations & Principles for Addressing Electronic Document Production*, Calls for Public Comment" (Sedona: The Sedona Conference, 19 March 2003).

The Sedona Conference, *The Sedona Principles: Best Practices Recommendations & Principles for Addressing Electronic Document Production: A Project of the October 2002 Sedona Conference Working*

Group on Best Practices for Electronic Document Retention & Production (The Sedona Conference, March, 2003). http://www.thesedonaconference.org/publications_html.

CASES

Canada

Bank of Montreal v. 3D Properties Inc., [1993] S.J. No. 279 (Sask. Q.B.) (QL).
British Columbia Building Corp. v. T & N, plc, [1995] B.C.J. No. 620 (B.C.S.C.) (QL).
Cholakis v. Cholakis, [2000] M.J. No. 6 (Man. Q.B.) (QL).
Mirra v. Toronto Dominion Bank, [2002] O.J. No. 1483 (S.C.J.) (QL).
Nicolardi v. Daley, [2002] O.J. No. 595 (S.C.J.) (QL).
Northwest Mettech Corp. v. Metcon Services Ltd., [1996] B.C.J. No. 1915 (B.C.S.C.) (QL).
OptiMight Communications, Inc. v. Innovance, Inc., [2002] O.J. No. 577 (Ont. C.A.) (QL).
Pedigree Poultry Ltd. v. Saskenergy Inc., [1999] S.J. No. 745 (Sask. Q.B.) (QL).
Reichmann v. Toronto Life Publishing Co. (No. 2) (1988), 30 C.P.C. (2d) 280 (Ont. H.C.).
Solid Waste Reclamation Inc., et al. v. Philip Enterprises Inc., et al. (1991), 2 O.R. (3d) 481, [1991] O.J. No. 213 (Gen. Div.) (QL).
Sunnar v. U-Haul Co. (Canada), [1998] B.C.J. No. 1850 (B.C.S.C.) (QL).
Wilson v. Servier Canada Inc., [2002] O.J. No. 3723 (S.C.J.).

United States

Anti-Monopoly, Inc. v. Hasbro, Inc., et al., 1995 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16355; 1995-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) P71, 218.
In Re Brand Name Prescription Drugs Antitrust Litigation, 1995 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8281.
Murphy Oil USA, Inc. v. Fluor Daniel, Inc., 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3196.
Playboy Enterprises, Inc. v. Terri Welles, et al., 60 F.Supp. 2d 1050; 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12895; 45 Fed. R. Serv. 3d (Callaghan) 981.
Rachel L. Fennell v. First Stepdesigns, Ltd., D/B/A/ Hand-in-Hand Designs, 83 F.3d 526; 1996 U.S. App. LEXIS 11326; 70 Fair Empl. Prac. Cas. (BNA) 1305; 34 Fed. R. Serv. 3d (Callaghan) 1566.
Rowe Entertainment Inc., et al. v. The William Morris Agency, Inc., et al., 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 488 (S.D.N.Y. 2002).
Scott Armstrong, et al. v. Executive Office of the President, et al., 303 U.S. App. D.C. 107; 1 F. 3d 1274; 1993 U.S. App. LEXIS 20527.
Simon Property Group L.P. v. mySimon, Inc., 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8953 (S.D. Ind. 2000).
Steven McPeck v. John D. Ashcroft et al., 202 F.R.D. 31; 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12061; 50 Fed. R. Serv. 3d (Callaghan) 528 (D.D.C. 2001).
Thomas F. Linnen et al. v. A.H. Robins Company, Inc. et al., 1999 Mass Super. LEXIS 240.
Wamiq Sattar v. Motorola, Inc. et al., 138 F.3d 1164; 1998 U.S. App. LEXIS 4445; 76 Fair Empl. Prac. Cas. (BNA) 521; 72 Empl. Prac. Dec. (CCH) P45, 203.